

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/796,441                 | 03/08/2004          | Michael Radomsky     | DEPYP003D1C1            | 1814                    |  |  |
| 22434                      | 7590 07/12/2006     |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |  |
| BEYER WEA                  | AVER & THOMAS, LLP  | HENRY, MICHAEL C     |                         |                         |  |  |
| P.O. BOX 702<br>OAKLAND. 0 | 50<br>CA 94612-0250 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                         |  |  |
| ,                          |                     |                      | 1623                    |                         |  |  |
|                            |                     |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/12/2000 | DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006 |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  |  |  | Application No.  |   | Applicant(s)  |          |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
|  |  |  | 10/796,441   |   | RADOMSKY, MICHAEL   |          |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   |  | Art Unit  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Michael C. Henry   |   | 1623  |          |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply  | ication appe   | ears on the cover she  | eet with the co   | orrespondence ad  | Idress - |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M DESCRIPTION OF THE M SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DA<br>of 37 CFR 1.136<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will<br>will, by statute, o | TE OF THIS COMM<br>6(a). In no event, however, n<br>Il apply and will expire SIX (6<br>cause the application to beco | IUNICATION may a reply be time by MONTHS from to me ABANDONED | ely filed the mailing date of this coordinates (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ·        |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>01 Ma</i>   | rch_2006.  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   |  |  | action is non-final.   |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>17-22</i> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| ,  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 7)   |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | tion and/or  | election requiremen  | t.  |   |          |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌   | The specification is objected to by the  | e Examiner.  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.                                 |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                  |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.             |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 12) 🔲 .  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  | for foreign p  | priority under 35 U.S  | .C. § 119(a)-   | (d) or (f).   |          |  |  |  |
|  | a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                               |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | r(s)   |  |  |   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  |  | view Summary (I   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or  |  | r No(s)/Mail Dat<br>e of Informal Pa   | )/Mail Date<br>Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)           |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/06 &amp; 3/6/06</u> .   | 1 10/35/00)  |  | 6) Other:   |   |          |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following office action is a responsive to the RCE filed, 03/01/06.

The RCE filed 03/01/06 affects the application, 10/796,441 as follows:

The responsive to applicants' RCE is contained herein below.

Claims 17-22 are pending in application

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyoshi et al. (JP 04282322).

In claim 17, applicant claims "A method of treating diseased, injured or abnormal bone at a site of desired bone growth comprising the step of applying to said site a composition comprising an effective amount of a mixture of hyaluronic acid, a growth factor and excipients to maintain biological activity of said factor, said composition being sufficient to enhance bone growth rate and magnitude and having a viscosity and biodegradability sufficient to persist at said site for a period of time sufficient to enhance said bone growth rate and magnitude."

Miyoshi et al. disclose applicant's method of treating diseased bone (bone diseases) with a composition comprising an effective amount of a mixture of hyaluronic acid (sodium hyaluronate), a growth factor (transforming growth factor) and excipient (saline) (see abstract).

Furthermore, although Miyoshi et al. is silent about the properties or characteristics of the

Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

composition which pertains to its biodegradability, effect of enhancing bone growth rate and magnitude and viscosity, Miyoshi et al.'s composition is the same as applicant's composition (comprising growth factor, hyaluronic acid and saline as an excipient), and consequently it should inherently possess the same properties. Claims 19 and 20, which are drawn to compositions of claim 17 wherein the hyaluronic acid is uncrosslinked and hyaluronic acid of specific range of % by weight in solution, are also encompassed by this rejection, since Miyoshi et al. hyaluronic acid is uncrosslinked and contains the same % by weight of hyaluronic acid (i.e., 0.5%) (see abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyoshi et al. (JP 04282322).

In claim 17, applicant claims "A method of treating diseased, injured or abnormal bone at a site of desired bone growth comprising the step of applying to said site a composition comprising an effective amount of a mixture of hyaluronic acid, a growth factor and excipients to maintain biological activity of said factor, said composition being sufficient to enhance bone growth rate and magnitude and having a viscosity and biodegradability sufficient to persist at

Application/Control Number: 10/796,441

Art Unit: 1623

said site for a period of time sufficient to enhance said bone growth rate and magnitude." Claim 18 is drawn to a composition according to claim 17 wherein said viscosity is about 75,000 cP.

Miyoshi et al. disclose a method of treating diseased bone (bone diseases) with a composition comprising an effective amount of a mixture of hyaluronic acid (sodium hyaluronate), a growth factor (transforming growth factor) and excipient (saline) (see abstract). Furthermore, although Miyoshi et al. is silent about the properties or characteristics of the composition which pertains to its biodegradability, effect of enhancing bone growth rate and magnitude and viscosity, Miyoshi et al.'s composition is the same as applicant's composition (comprising growth factor, hyaluronic acid and saline as an excipient), and consequently it should inherently possess the same properties.

The difference between applicant's claimed method and the method taught by Miyoshi et al. is the viscosity of the composition. However, the use of compositions containing the same components but of different viscosities depends on factors such as the severity of the bone disease and the individual that is being treated.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to have used the method of Miyoshi et al. to treat bone disease with a composition comprising an effective amount of a mixture of hyaluronic acid, a growth factor and excipients and to alter the viscosity of said composition depending on factors such as the severity of the bone disease and the individual that is being treated.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the method of Miyoshi et al. to treat bone disease with a composition comprising an effective amount of a mixture of hyaluronic acid, a growth factor and excipients and to alter the viscosity of said

Art Unit: 1623

composition depending on factors such as the severity of the bone disease and the individual that is being treated.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Though the method of the present invention are similar to the method of the prior art, the method of claims 21-22 are different, not suggested and are unobvious over the prior art. Moreover, the prior art document does not teach or suggest treating diseased, injured or abnormal bone with a combination of hyaluronic acid, a bFGFgrowth factor and excepient. Moreover, applicant's composition which comprises a mixture of hyaluronic acid, a bFGF growth factor and excipients produces a synergistic effect of treating bone growth.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/796,441

Art Unit: 1623

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Henry

Shaojia Anna Jiang, Ph.D. Supervisory Patent Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1623

July 6, 2006.